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Relevance:

“Pertinent to, having a connection with the matter under consideration”

Our membership, both current and potential
Relevance:

Determine what is pertinent to our members, both today and into the future.
### Otolaryngologists Joining Triological
### 2011 and 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Otolaryngologists Joining Triological 2011 and 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-speciality</th>
<th>New Members</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Otology/Neurotology</td>
<td>14 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric Otolaryngology</td>
<td>13 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head &amp; Neck</td>
<td>11 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laryngology</td>
<td>11 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Otolaryngology</td>
<td>9 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinology</td>
<td>5 (7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial Plastic Reconstr.</td>
<td>4 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Disorders</td>
<td>1 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>1 (1.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>69 (100%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Personal Letter
Personal Letter

- Seeking their feedback
- Most important for our ongoing understanding of the value of Triological membership.
- Request to reflect on the main reason or reasons for their decision to become a member of the Triological Society
- Briefly share those thoughts via return email
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>New Members</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>69</td>
<td>37 (54%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Otolaryngologists Joining Triological 2011 and 2012
# Otolaryngologists Joining Triological 2011 and 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-specialty</th>
<th>New Members</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No (%)</td>
<td>No (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Otology/Neurotology</td>
<td>14 (20%)</td>
<td>7 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric Otolaryngology</td>
<td>13 (19%)</td>
<td>7 (19%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head &amp; Neck</td>
<td>11 (16%)</td>
<td>8 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laryngology</td>
<td>11 (16%)</td>
<td>4 (11%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Otolaryngology</td>
<td>9 (13%)</td>
<td>5 (13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhinology</td>
<td>5 (7%)</td>
<td>3 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial Plastic Reconstr.</td>
<td>4 (6%)</td>
<td>2 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep Disorders</td>
<td>1 (1.5%)</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>1 (1.5%)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>69 (100%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>37 (100%)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“Actually, it took me many years to decide I wanted to become a member. I think the modern world has become so subspecialized, that I really only dealt with otology for years, and had no patients outside of this field. For this reason, I didn’t find the Triological Society meetings a draw, since otology was only a relatively small part of the program. However, I was encouraged by my sponsors several times over the years to put together some of my research into a larger thesis that would have more depth than a standard paper (sadly, it does end up being published within the page limits of a standard paper in the Laryngoscope).

For me, joining the society was an "important" step, i.e. finding the time and dedication to put together a stream of research, collate it and write it up, and doing all this was a step in my career that I think shows oneself and others that you are capable of this. For me, the main value is the symbolism that this represents. Indeed, I think the American Otologic Society requires this step in order to join as well.

As a new member with fresh eyes, I do think that there are many challenges for a cross disciplinary society such as the Triological Society, which seems geared towards academics, but most academics are very subspecialized, and don’t want to necessarily spend time on things outside their field. Perhaps opportunities are those interface areas that are not covered adequately by any one discipline, eg. in my field Eustachian tube disorders (rhinology and otology), pediatric hearing loss (Peds ENT and otology), temporal bone malignancies etc. Maybe cross disciplinary panels in some of these areas would be useful.

I also think it would be useful to have the full text of all submitted theses available online. Often the data has to be very much reduced to reach the page limits for Laryngoscope, and there is often detailed and in depth background in the theses.”

“Actually, it took me many years to decide I wanted to become a member. I think the modern world has become so subspecialized, that I really only dealt with otology for years, and had no patients outside of this field. For this reason, I didn’t find the Triological Society meetings a draw, since otology was only a relatively small part of the program. However, I was encouraged by my sponsors several times over the years to put together some of my research into a larger thesis that would have more depth than a standard paper (sadly, it does end up being published within the page limits of a standard paper in the Laryngoscope).

For me, joining the society was an "important" step, i.e. finding the time and dedication to put together a stream of research, collate it and write it up, and doing all this was a step in my career that I think shows oneself and others that you are capable of this. For me, the main value is the symbolism that this represents. Indeed, I think the American Otologic Society requires this step in order to join as well.

As a new member with fresh eyes, I do think that there are many challenges for a cross disciplinary society such as the Triological Society, which seems geared towards academics, but most academics are very subspecialized, and don’t want to necessarily spend time on things outside their field. Perhaps opportunities are those interface areas that are not covered adequately by any one discipline, eg. in my field Eustachian tube disorders (rhinology and otology), pediatric hearing loss (Peds ENT and otology), temporal bone malignancies etc. Maybe cross disciplinary panels in some of these areas would be useful.

I also think it would be useful to have the full text of all submitted theses available online. Often the data has to be very much reduced to reach the page limits for Laryngoscope, and there is often detailed and in depth background in the theses.”

“Actually, it took me many years to decide I wanted to become a member. I think the modern world has become so subspecialized, that I really only dealt with otology for years, and had no patients outside of this field. For this reason, I didn’t find the Triological Society meetings a draw, since otology was only a relatively small part of the program. However, I was encouraged by my sponsors several times over the years to put together some of my research into a larger thesis that would have more depth than a standard paper (sadly, it does end up being published within the page limits of a standard paper in the Laryngoscope).

For me, joining the society was an "important" step, i.e. finding the time and dedication to put together a stream of research, collate it and write it up, and doing all this was a step in my career that I think shows oneself and others that you are capable of this. For me, the main value is the symbolism that this represents. Indeed, I think the American Otologic Society requires this step in order to join as well.

As a new member with fresh eyes, I do think that there are many challenges for a cross disciplinary society such as the Triological Society, which seems geared towards academics, but most academics are very subspecialized, and don’t want to necessarily spend time on things outside their field. Perhaps opportunities are those interface areas that are not covered adequately by any one discipline, eg. in my field Eustachian tube disorders (rhinology and otology), pediatric hearing loss (Peds ENT and otology), temporal bone malignancies etc. Maybe cross disciplinary panels in some of these areas would be useful.

I also think it would be useful to have the full text of all submitted theses available online. Often the data has to be very much reduced to reach the page limits for Laryngoscope, and there is often detailed and in depth background in the theses.”
Alicia O. Burris
Director of the Center for Educational Development and Research
University of Oklahoma.
Qualitative Thematic Analysis (Text analysis software)

- coding of the text, line-by-line
- developing descriptors
- analyzing to group similar descriptors and determine the frequency in which they are mentioned
Key Elements: Decision to Join the Triological.

ONE

Membership affords the opportunity to contribute and advance academic/scholarly excellence and research within the field.
Membership is considered prestigious and those persons respected in the field of otolaryngology are members.
THREE

Membership is viewed as necessary for professional development, and for attaining personal and professional goals.
Key Elements: Decision to Join the Triological.

FOUR

Current members, mentors, or influential colleagues urged/encouraged them to seek membership.
Membership is viewed as a gateway/pre-requisite for membership and leadership opportunities in other societies (e.g., AOS & ALA).
Key Elements: Decision to Join the Triological.

SIX

The Triological Society promotes a cross-discipline focus and specialty unity.
Key Elements: Decision to Join the Triological.

SEVEN

Membership is a pathway to enhance and be part of increased funding opportunities and research grants to expand the field.
ONE

Membership affords the opportunity to contribute and advance academic/scholarly excellence and research within the field.

● Attendance and presentations at meetings
Triological Society Meeting Attendance *
Physician Attendees

* Does not include allied health or corporate reps
Triological Society
Submissions and Presentations

Triological Society
April 2013
Key Elements: Decision to Join the Triological.

ONE

Membership affords the opportunity to contribute and advance academic/scholarly excellence and research within the field.

- *Publication in The Laryngoscope*
Manuscript Submissions

*January 1–March 20
## Total Citations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Laryngoscope</strong></td>
<td>8,350</td>
<td>8,593</td>
<td>9,178</td>
<td>9,839</td>
<td>10,022</td>
<td>11,341</td>
<td>11,332</td>
<td>12,793</td>
<td>14,203</td>
<td>14,524</td>
<td>14,577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg</strong></td>
<td>4,561</td>
<td>4,674</td>
<td>5,155</td>
<td>5,352</td>
<td>5,358</td>
<td>6,046</td>
<td>6,417</td>
<td>7,330</td>
<td>8,010</td>
<td>8,610</td>
<td>8,906</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg</strong></td>
<td>6,129</td>
<td>6,190</td>
<td>6,574</td>
<td>6,912</td>
<td>7,010</td>
<td>7,244</td>
<td>7,100</td>
<td>7,857</td>
<td>8,470</td>
<td>8,286</td>
<td>8,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol</strong></td>
<td>5,331</td>
<td>5,099</td>
<td>5,378</td>
<td>5,263</td>
<td>5,285</td>
<td>5,302</td>
<td>5,186</td>
<td>5,566</td>
<td>6,042</td>
<td>5,827</td>
<td>5,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Otolaryngol Clin North Am</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,324</td>
<td>1,542</td>
<td>1,703</td>
<td>1,856</td>
<td>1,841</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,082</td>
<td>1,197</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Highest Impact Factor in Otolaryngology Category

- Highest IF in Oto Category
- Laryngoscope
- Annals
- Archives
- Current Opinion in OtoHNS
- Otolaryngology Clinics of NA
- Oto-HNS
Key Elements: Decision to Join the Triological.

ONE

Membership affords the opportunity to contribute and advance academic/scholarly excellence and research within the field.

- Attendance and presentations at meetings
- Publication in The Laryngoscope
- Funding Research
Triological Society Grants

91 Awards: $3,251,394
Key Elements: Decision to Join the Triological.

ONE

Membership affords the opportunity to contribute and advance academic/scholarly excellence and research within the field.

- Attendance and presentations at meetings
- Publication in The Laryngoscope
- Funding Research
The Triological is a relevant organization today!
The Triological is a relevant organization today!

*Steve Jobs*

...if you do something and it turns out pretty good, don’t dwell on it for too long, go do something else wonderful. Just figure out what’s next!
Relevance tomorrow?

“Futuristic” Organization

- Attuned to changes in the environment
- Evaluate continually how the Society can best adapt
- Have the courage to try innovative responses and approaches.
WE must assume the responsibility to envision and shape the future

- Strategic Planning: 5 Years
- Ongoing Planning
  - Biannual
  - Yearly
- Self-examination
Relevance tomorrow?

Strive to seek TRUE diversity

- *Race and Gender*
  - Generations
  - Ideas
  - Skills

- *Future Council*
  - Residents
  - Fellows
  - New Members
Relevance tomorrow?

Strive to seek TRUE diversity

- Expand and strengthen our alliances with sub-specialty societies

Otology
Head & Neck
Pediatrics
Laryngology

General
Facial Plastic
Rhinology
Sleep Dis.
Strive to seek TRUE diversity

Relevance tomorrow?

Educate the Educator:
Triological/SUO sponsored
Speaker and panel
2 hr. workshop
Seek Quality Information

“Quality information helps produce quality decisions”
Relevance tomorrow?

Must remain financially strong!

Explore alternative means

Non-for Profit Organizations

- L3C (low-profit limited liability company)
- For-profit Subsidiaries
Relevance tomorrow?

Significant CHALLENGE!